arXiv:1411.5105v6 [math.DS] 19 Aug 2016

Standing waves in near-parallel vortex filaments

Walter Craig*, Carlos García-Azpeitia†, Chi-Ru Yang*

August 22, 2016

Abstract

A model derived in [18] for n near-parallel vortex filaments in a three dimensional fluid region takes into consideration the pairwise interaction between the filaments along with an approximation for motion by self-induction. The same system of equations appears in descriptions of the fine structure of vortex filaments in the Gross – Pitaevski model of Bose – Einstein condensates. In this paper we construct families of standing waves for this model, in the form of n co-rotating near-parallel vortex filaments that are situated in a central configuration. This result applies to any pair of vortex filaments with the same circulation, corresponding to the case $n = 2$. The model equations can be formulated as a system of Hamiltonian PDEs, and the construction of standing waves is a small divisor problem. The methods are a combination of the analysis of infinite dimensional Hamiltonian dynamical systems and linear theory related to Anderson localization. The main technique of the construction is the Nash-Moser method applied to a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction, giving rise to a bifurcation equation over a Cantor set of parameters.

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1, Canada

†Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 04510 México DF, México

Introduction

The dynamics of self-interacting infinitesimal vortex tubes in a three dimensional fluid is in general a complex problem, involving the coherence of structures under time evolution of individual filaments, and possible filament collision and reconnection. However in certain limiting cases there are models that are relatively straightforward to understand, which exhibit dynamics that are subject to rigorous analysis. In [18], a model system of equations was derived for the interaction of n near-parallel vortex filaments, all sharing the same circulation. In this model we consider points in $\mathbb{R}^3$ coordinatized by $(x_1 + ix_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ and give n-many curves $(u_j(t,s), s) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ that describe the positions of n vertically oriented vortex filaments, each with unit circulation $\gamma = 1$. From [18] the system of model equations for the dynamics of n near-parallel vortex filaments is given by

$$\partial_t u_j = i \left(\partial_{ss} u_j + \sum_{i=1, i\neq j}^{n} \frac{u_j - u_i}{|u_j - u_i|^2} \right) \ , \quad j = 1, \dots n \ . \tag{1}$$

The case of exactly parallel vortex filaments in an incompressible inviscid fluid reduces to a problem of interactions of point vortices in $\mathbb{R}^2$, which is described by a finite dimensional Hamiltonian system. The model (1) represents an approximation of near - parallel vortex filament interactions, which is valid in an asymptotic regime in which vortex filaments have small deviation from being exactly parallel and they remain uniformly separated.

The above model has been extensively studied. In [17] the long time existence of solutions of (1) is given for $n = 2$ and for certain near triangular configurations with $n = 3$. The article [1] gives a long time existence theorem for the case $n = 4$ near the configuration of a square, and gives a global in time solution for central configurations consisting of rotating regular polygons of an arbitrary number $n \geq 3$ of filaments. In this latter work the authors give a uniform lower bound on the distance between the filaments. In a very recent paper [2] the same authors give examples where the model (1) evolves filaments which intersect in finite time, thus giving rise to singular behavior; this illustrates the limits of the validity of the model, at least as an approximation of the Euler equations uniformly in time.

We recently learned of a second setting in which the near-parallel vortex model appear, namely in studies of the dynamics of vortices for the Gross – Pitaevski model of Bose – Einstein condensates. Under conditions of vortex



Figure 1: Two vortex filaments that have large separation, that rotate uniformly around the center with small frequency $\omega \sim 0$. The perturbation from straight filaments oscillates approximately as $u(s,t) \sim r(e^{-it}) \cos s$.

confinement, the core of a higher index vortex filament separates into a fine structure described by the system of near-parallel index-1 filaments, whose positions are described by the system (1). This asymptotic description has been rigorously established in [6] in the stationary case, and in the case of nontrivial time dependent evolution in [16]. To our knowledge, the rigorous analytic justification of (1) as a model of vortex filaments for the Euler equations of fluid dynamics is open.

In this paper we consider families of time periodic solutions that additionally are periodic in the spatial variable s. Our main result is a bifurcation theory for periodic standing waves of this n vortex ensemble, giving rise to families of solutions that bifurcate from n exactly parallel filaments that revolve around an axis, with positions determined by a central configuration. For $n = 2$ this addresses the case of any two near-parallel filaments with the same circulation. When $n \geq 3$ we consider arbitrary central configurations of vortex filaments. In all cases we consider, the amplitudes of the standing waves that are constructed are restricted to a Cantor set, due to an accumulation of resonances along the bifurcating solution branches.

Specifically, as a consequence of the conclusions of our Theorem 2 below, the following result holds for the n vortex filament problem.



Figure 2: Two vortex filaments with small separation, rotating with frequency $\omega \sim \infty$. The perturbation from straight filaments oscillates approximately as $u(s,t) \sim r(i\sqrt{2\omega}\sin\sqrt{2\omega}t)\cos s$.

Theorem 1 *Let a_j be a central configuration, satisfying*

$$\omega a_j = \sum_{i=1(i\neq j)}^{n} \frac{a_j - a_i}{|a_j - a_i|^2}.$$

Then the n vortex filament problem (1) has solutions of the form

$$u_j(t,s) = a_j e^{it\omega}\left(1 + u(\Omega(r)t, s; r)\right),$$

where ω is a diophantine frequency, r is a small amplitude varying over a Cantor set, and $\Omega(r) = \Omega_0 + \mathcal{O}(r^2)$ with $\Omega_0 = \sqrt{1+2\omega}$ and

$$u(t,s) = r\cos s\left(\cos t - i\Omega_0 \sin t\right) + \mathcal{O}(r^2)\ .$$

Many PDE's that describe physical phenomena exhibit the structure of an infinite dimensional Hamiltonian system. Equations that model nonlinear wave phenomena are such, and they typically possess equilibria that are elliptic points in the sense of dynamical systems, for which one anticipates families of nearby periodic and quasi-periodic solutions. However for Hamiltonian PDE's, even the construction of periodic solutions often presents a small divisor problem, due to the infinite number of degrees of freedom and the spectral properties of the relevant linearized operators. Thus such constructions are analogous to the analytic challenge of constructing invariant tori in KAM theory.

In this paper the methods that are used to construct periodic solutions involve a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction along with a Nash-Moser procedure, a

strategy introduced in Craig and Wayne [7]. This technique is useful in problems with multiple resonances, as it has the advantage that it does not require the third Melnikov condition that is a feature in the classical KAM methods. This procedure was generalized by Bourgain to construct quasiperiodic solutions for Hamiltonian PDEs, in for instance [3], and was further used an improved by Berti and Bolle [4] and a number of other authors.

The strategy of Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction is used to solve the range equation through a Nash-Moser procedure, with smoothing operators given by Fourier truncations of finite but increasing dimension. The convergence of the procedure relies on estimates of the inverse of a sequence of finite dimensional matrices of asymptotically large dimension. Approximate inversion of linearized operators is the key to most applications of KAM theory to Hamiltonian PDEs. Resolvent estimates that resemble Fröhlich–Spencer estimates are used to control the inverse of the linearization, projected off of the kernel. However restrictions in the local coordinates of the kernel and the frequency parameters have to be imposed in order to obtain these estimates. These in turn require the excision of certain near resonant subsets of parameter space in an inductive procedure. The estimates for the projected inverse operator diverge, due to the small divisors, a divergence which is overcome by the rapid convergence of the Newton method. However, at the end of the process the set of parameters for which the scheme converges is reduced to a Cantor set of positive measure, and the bifurcation equation is solved only over this Cantor set.

In this paper, the bifurcation equation is analyzed with the use of the symmetries. These symmetries imply the existence of two bifurcation branches, one consisting of standing waves and one of traveling waves. The branch of standing waves corresponds to a symmetry-breaking phenomenon from a one-dimensional orbit to a three-dimensional orbit. Estimates on the measure of the intersection of the branch of nontrivial standing waves with the Cantor set finishes the proof. The branch of traveling wave solutions does not involve small divisors; it is discussed in the Appendix along with other solutions.

The Nash-Moser procedure has been previously applied to nonlinear wave equations and NLS equations in [7], [8], [3], [4] and [5], and for standing water waves in [23]. We have two purposes in developing the analysis of Hamiltonian PDEs and small divisor problems in this paper. Firstly, the underlying problem of vortex filament dynamics has a relevance to fluid dynamics, and in particular to questions of Euler flows. Moreover, we have a secondary

objective, which is to present a simplified and more straightforward proof of the relevant estimates of the inverse of the linearized operator, being a variant and a conceptually simpler version of the classical Fröhlich-Spencer estimates. We believe that these will be useful for other small divisor problems, whether for finite dimensional Hamiltonian systems in resonant situations for which the third Melnikov condition is not expected to hold, or for problems of invariant tori for Hamiltonian PDEs.

In section 1, we set up the question of existence of standing waves as a problem of bifurcation theory in a space with symmetries, related to PDE analogs of [12] and [15]. In section 2 we discuss the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction with the use of the Nash-Moser procedure. In section 3 we present the estimates for the projected inverses, assuming certain hypotheses on the separation of singular sites and estimates of the spectra of the relevant linear operators. This step is at the heart of the Nash-Moser procedure. In section 4 we estimate the measure of the set of parameters for which the above hypotheses of separation and inversion are satisfied. Finally in section 5 we show that solutions of the bifurcation equation intersect the above set of good parameters, and show that the intersection has asymptotically full measure. In the Appendix we discuss the symmetries of the standing waves, and in addition we give a result about the global bifurcation of periodic traveling waves, which has a much different character than that of the standing waves.

1 Central configurations of vortex filaments

A central configuration of exactly parallel vortex filaments is a family of straight and exactly parallel lines $(u_j(t), s)$, whose dynamics satisfy $u_j(t) = e^{i\omega t}a_j$, where the coordinates $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$\omega a_j = \sum_{i=1, i\neq j}^{n} \frac{a_j - a_i}{|a_j - a_i|^2} \tag{2}$$

for all $j = 1, \ldots n$. Such configurations arise in studies of the n-body problem, for example [13], [21], [22] and the references contained in these papers.

Homographic solutions for the vortex filament problem are solutions with

$$u_j(t, s) = w(t, s)a_j,$$

where a_j's are complex numbers satisfying (2). In this class of solutions the shape of the intersections of the filaments with a horizontal complex plane is

homographic with the shape of their intersection with any other horizontal plane $\{x_3 = c\}$ for any x_3 and at any time t. Homographic solutions of this form satisfy the equations (2) and

$$\partial_t w = i\left(\partial_{ss} w + \omega\,|w|^{-2}\,w\right). \tag{3}$$

The set of solutions $w(t,s)a_j$ foliate an invariant manifold. By rescaling one may set $\omega = 1$ in (2). In the case $n = 2$ of two filaments, the complement of this subspace, that is in center of circulation coordinates, the orbit space is foliated by solutions of the linear Schrödinger equation, see [17].

Solutions of equation (2) are known as central configurations of the n-vortex problem, and solutions of this form have been well studied in the literature. For instance, in [17] and [14], a polygonal central configuration with a central filament is discussed. Solutions to equation (3) also generate homographic solutions when a central filament with different circulation is fixed at the central axis, see [17].

Equation (3) can be formulated as a Hamiltonian system, given by

$$\partial_t w = i\partial_{\bar{w}} H(w)$$

where the Hamiltonian for the system is

$$H = \int_0^{2\pi} |\partial_s w|^2 - \ln(|w|^2)\,ds\ .$$

Since the Hamiltonian $H(w)$ is autonomous and invariant under change of phase and translation, the energy H, the angular momentum

$$I = \int_0^{2\pi} |w|^2\ ds\ ,$$

and the momentum

$$W = \int_0^{2\pi} \bar{w}(i\partial_s w)\,ds$$

are conserved quantities.

The simplest solutions to equation (3) are relative equilibria of the form $w(t,s) = e^{i\omega t}v(s)$. In these solutions the filaments turn around a central axis at a constant uniform speed. For this class of solutions equation (3) becomes

$$\omega v = \partial_{ss} v + |v|^{-2}\,v\ , \tag{4}$$

with ω being the angular frequency.

One simple family of solutions of (4) in helical form is given by $v(s) = ae^{i\sigma s}$ with $\omega = -\sigma^2 + a^{-2}$. Thus a continuum of solutions of equation (3) is given by

$$w(t,s) = ae^{i(\omega t+\sigma s)} \text{ with } \omega = -\sigma^2 + a^{-2} \tag{5}$$

which is parametrized by the vortex filament separation a. The solutions in this continuum have a one dimensional orbit $ae^{i\theta}e^{i(\omega t+\sigma s)}$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Equation (3) is similar to the Kepler problem; other solutions to this equation are discussed in the appendix.

The equation (3) is invariant under the Galilean transformation

$$e^{-i\alpha^2 t}e^{i\alpha s}w(t, s - 2\alpha t). \tag{6}$$

Under the Galilean transformation, the solution (5) generates the family

$$ae^{i((\omega-2\alpha\sigma-\alpha^2)t+(\sigma+\alpha)s)}\,.$$

Since $\omega = -\sigma^2 + a^{-2}$, by the choice $\alpha = -\sigma$, the solution (5) becomes $ae^{i\omega}$ with $\omega = a^{-2}$. Hence, under the symmetry exhibited by the Galilean transformations, the different branches $ae^{i(\omega t+\sigma s)}$ are transformed to the branch with $\sigma = 0$.

From the previous remark, we may assume without loss of generality that the bifurcation branch of periodic solutions is close to the co-rotating exactly parallel solutions $ae^{i\omega t}$, with $\omega = 1/a^2$. Subsequently, using the Galilean transformation, any periodic solution near $ae^{i\omega t}$ can be reproduced as a solution that is a perturbation of $ae^{i(\omega t+\sigma s)}$ for an arbitrary choice of $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

The equation (3) is invariant under the scaling

$$\tau^{-1}w(\tau^2 t, \tau s)\,,$$

so that any P-periodic boundary condition in s may be fixed to $P = 2\pi$. However, once the spatial period has been fixed, the amplitude a cannot be scaled further. It therefore suffices to consider the problem of bifurcation of solutions which have spatial period 2π. The amplitude parameter a has the role of an external parameter in the problem, and solutions $ae^{i\omega t}$ have different properties depending on a, due to patterns of resonance.

Finally, we address the bifurcation of $2\pi/\Omega$-periodic solutions in time which are close to the exactly parallel central configuration $e^{i\omega t}a$, using the change of coordinates

$$w(t,s) = ae^{\omega it}v(\Omega t, s) \ . \tag{7}$$

Under rescaling the time variable, the $2\pi/\Omega$-periodic solutions of the equation (3) satisfy the equation

$$i\Omega\partial_t v = -\partial_{ss}v + \omega(1-|v|^{-2})v \ . \tag{8}$$

Since the equation depends of the amplitude a only through the angular frequency ω, in the subsequent analysis we choose to parametrize solution families of (8) through the frequency ω.

2 The Nash-Moser method

By arguments of generic bifurcation, following the remarks in the appendix, it is expected that there is one bifurcating branch of traveling waves and one of standing waves. The traveling wave do not present a small divisor problem, and we give a proof of their existence in the appendix.

To prove the existence of families of standing wave solutions, we use a Nash-Moser method in a subspace of symmetries (10), a reduction which simplifies several aspects of the proof; in particular in this setting singular regions consist of isolated sites, the linearization is not degenerate, and the kernel of the linear operator is one dimensional.

Using the change of variables $v = 1+u$ in equation (8), where u is a small perturbation, the bifurcation of periodic solutions are zeros of the map

$$f(u;\Omega) = -i\Omega u_t - u_{ss} + \omega(u+\bar{u}) + \omega g(u,\overline{u}), \tag{9}$$

where Ω is the temporal frequency, and the nonlinearity is given by

$$g(u,\bar{u}) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(-1)^n\bar{u}^n = \frac{\bar{u}^2}{1+\bar{u}} \ .$$

Our main result is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *For ω diophantine, with only one exceptional value $\omega = \omega_0$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ and a Cantor subset $\mathcal{C} \subset [0, r_0]$ with measure $|\mathcal{C}| \geq$*

$r_0(1 - r_0 C_\beta)$ ($r_0 \ll 1$), such that the operator $f(u;\Omega)$ has a nontrivial bifurcation branch of solutions of $f(u;\Omega) = 0$ parametrized by $r \in \mathcal{C}$. The solutions $(u(s,t;r), \Omega(r))$ are analytic and periodic in s and t, and they have the following form;

$$u(t,s;r) = r\cos s\,(\cos t - i\Omega_0 \sin t) + \mathcal{O}(r^2),$$

where $\Omega(r) = \Omega_0 + \Omega_2 r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^3)$ with $\Omega_0 = \sqrt{1+2\omega}$ and $\Omega_2 \neq 0$. Moreover, the solutions $u(s,t)$ are Whitney smooth in r and exhibit the symmetries

$$u(t,s) \ = u(t,-s) = \bar{u}(-t,s). \tag{10}$$

In fact, solutions of this theorem satisfy the additional symmetry

$$u(t,s) = u(t+\pi, s+\pi).$$

The solutions of Theorem 2 have an orbit which is a 3-torus, given by $e^{i\theta}u_j(t+\varphi, s+\psi)$ for $(\theta,\varphi,\psi) \in \mathbb{T}^3$.

The exceptional value ω_0 of the rotational frequency parameter is due to the failure of the nondegeneracy condition of the amplitude - frequency map in the construction of the bifurcation branch of periodic standing wave solutions.

Theorem 2 follows the basic structure of the Lyapunov center theorem, however it concerns the time evolution of a PDE and subsequently the analysis must deal with the problem of small divisors. In addition the problem naturally encounters a resonant situation corresponding to its translation invariance in the variable $s \in \mathbb{R}$, which presents a second difficulty. To overcome the latter, we seek solutions which are symmetric under reflections in space and time. A posteriori we show that this set of time periodic solutions are the unique standing wave solutions. In order to work in the setting of symmetric solutions, we define the Hilbert space

$$L^2_{sym}(\mathbb{T}^2;\mathbb{C}) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2;\mathbb{C}) : u(t,s) = u(t,-s) = \bar{u}(-t,s)\},$$

with the inner product

$$\langle u_1, u_2\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u_1\bar{u}_2 dtds.$$

A function $u \in L^2_{sym}$ may be written in a Fourier basis

$$u = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} a_{0,k}\cos ks + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}^+,k\in\mathbb{N}} (a_{j,k}\cos jt + ib_{j,k}\sin jt)\cos ks$$

with $a_{j,k}, b_{j,k} \in \mathbb{R}$. We are using the notation that $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, ..\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^+ = \{1, 2, ...\}$. The linearization of the map f about $u = 0$ is

$$L(\Omega)u := f'(0; \Omega)u = -i\Omega u_t - u_{ss} + \omega(u + \bar{u}).$$

Explicitly, $L(\Omega)$ is diagonal in the above basis, and the Fourier component $j = 0$ is

$$L(\Omega)(a_{0,k} \cos ks) = (k^2 + 2\omega)a_{0,k} \cos ks \ .$$

For the Fourier component $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$, the linear map is

$$L(\Omega)\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{j,k} \\ b_{j,k} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos jt \\ i \sin jt \end{pmatrix} \cos ks\right) = M_{j,k} \begin{pmatrix} a_{j,k} \\ b_{j,k} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos jt \\ i \sin jt \end{pmatrix} \cos ks,$$

where $M_{j,k}$ is the matrix

$$M_{j,k}(\Omega) = \begin{pmatrix} k^2 + 2\omega & -\Omega j \\ -\Omega j & k^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $M_{j,k}$ has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{j,k,\pm 1} = k^2 + \omega \pm \sqrt{j^2\Omega^2 + \omega^2},$$

and normalized eigenvectors

$$v_{j,k,\pm 1} = \frac{1}{c_{j,\pm 1}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega \pm \sqrt{j^2\Omega^2 + \omega^2} \\ -j\Omega \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$c_{j,\pm 1} = \sqrt{2} \left(\omega^2 + j^2\Omega^2 \pm \omega\sqrt{j^2\Omega^2 + \omega^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

The orthonormal eigenbasis is given by $e_{0,0,1} = 1$, $e_{0,k,1} = \sqrt{2} \cos ks$ and

$$e_{j,k,l} = c_k v_{j,k,l} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos jt \\ i \sin jt \end{pmatrix} \cos ks$$

for $(j, k, l) \in \mathbb{N}^+ \times \mathbb{N} \times \{1, -1\}$, where $c_0 = \sqrt{2}$ and $c_k = 2$ $(k \neq 0)$. That is, we have $\langle e_x, e_y \rangle = \delta_{x,y}$ and for every function $u \in L^2_{sym}$,

$$u = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \langle u, e_x \rangle e_x, \qquad L(\Omega)u = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \lambda_x \langle u, e_x \rangle e_x,$$

where

$$x \in \Lambda = \mathbb{N}^+ \times \mathbb{N} \times \{1, -1\} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N} \times \{1\}.$$

Define the analytic norm

$$\|u\|_\sigma^2 = \sum_{(j,k,l)\in\Lambda} \langle u, e_{j,k,l}\rangle^2 \, e^{2|(j,k)|\sigma} \, \langle (j,k)\rangle^{2s} \, ,$$

where $\langle (j,k)\rangle = \sqrt{1+j^2+k^2}$. Even though the eigenfunctions e_x depend on the frequency parameter Ω, and the norm $\|u\|_\sigma$ is defined through this system of eigenfunctions, the norm is in fact independent of Ω since the basis $\{e_x\}_{x\in\Lambda}$ is orthonormal.

Lemma 3 *The Hilbert space h_σ of analytic functions given by*

$$h_\sigma = \{u \in L^2_{sym}(\mathbb{T}^2;\mathbb{C}) : \|u\|_\sigma < \infty\} \, .$$

is an algebra for $s > 1$;

$$\|uv\|_\sigma \leq c_{\sigma,s} \, \|u\|_\sigma \, \|v\|_\sigma \, .$$

Proof. The result is quite standard. Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{C})$, then the norm

$$\sum_{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \left|\left\langle u, e^{i(jt+ks)}\right\rangle\right|^2 e^{2|(j,k)|\sigma} \, \langle (j,k)\rangle^{2s} \tag{11}$$

has the algebra property under the convolution for $s > 1$, see [8]. The result follows from the fact that the two norms $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ and (11) are equivalent in L^2_{sym}. ■

Lemma 4 *The nonlinear operator $g(u, \bar{u})$ satisfies*

$$\|g(u,\bar{u})\|_\sigma < c_\sigma \, \|u\|_\sigma^2 \ ,$$

for small $\|u\|_\sigma$. Thus, the map f is well defined and continuous in

$$\left\{u \in h_\sigma : \|u\|_\sigma < c_\sigma^{-1}\right\} \ .$$

Proof. That the map is well defined follows from the equivariant property and the first statement. The first inequality follows from the algebraic property,

$$\|g(u,\bar{u})\|_\sigma = \left\| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \bar{u}^n \right\|_\sigma \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{\sigma,s}^{n-1} \|u\|_\sigma^n = \frac{c_{\sigma,s} \|u\|_\sigma^2}{1 - c_{\sigma,s} \|u\|_\sigma} < c_\sigma \|u\|_\sigma^2 \ .$$

■

The eigenvalue $\lambda_{j,k,-1}(\Omega)$ is zero when the frequency is equal to

$$\Omega_{j,k} = j^{-1}\sqrt{k^4 + 2k^2\omega}.$$

In the case that there are no additional resonances, and without loss of generality, we may analyze the branch of bifurcation from the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,1,-1}$; all other being equivalent up to scaling (see the appendix). In the next proposition we prove that there are no additional resonances if ω is irrational.

Proposition 5 *Let $\Omega_0 = \sqrt{1+2\omega}$. For ω irrational, the kernel of the map $L(\Omega_0)$ has dimension one, corresponding to the eigenfunction $e_{1,1,-1}$.*

Proof. The eigenvalues of $M_{j,k}(\Omega_0)$ are $\lambda_{j,k,l}(\Omega_0)$ for $l = \pm 1$. The determinant of the matrix $M_{j,k}(\Omega_0)$ is

$$d_{j,k}(\Omega_0) = \lambda_{j,k,1}\lambda_{j,k,-1} = 2\left(k^2 - j^2\right)\omega + \left(k^4 - j^2\right).$$

Since the frequency Ω_0 is chosen such that $M_{1,1}$ is not invertible, then $d_{1,1}(\Omega_0) = 0$. Since ω is irrational, then the determinant $d_{j,k}$ is zero only if both numbers $k^2 - j^2$ and $k^4 - j^2$ are zero. For $(j,k,l) \in \Lambda$, the condition happens only when $(j,k) = (1,1)$ or $(j,k) = (0,0)$. But the eigenvalue $\lambda_{0,0,1} = 2\omega$ is always positive, then the only zero eigenvalue in the lattice Λ is $\lambda_{1,1,-1}(\Omega_0)$. ■

The eigenvalue $\lambda_{0,0,-1}$ is always zero, and on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2;\mathbb{C})$ it contributes to the null space of $L(\Omega)$. However on the space L^2_{sym} that takes the symmetries into account, the $(0,0,-1)$ is not an element of the lattice Λ, and therefore $e_{0,0,-1} \notin L^2_{sym}$ does not contribute to the respective null space.

For $A \subseteq \Lambda$ a subset of lattice points, define P_A as the projection onto the Fourier components $x \in A \subseteq \Lambda$,

$$P_A u = \sum_{x \in A} \langle u, e_x \rangle \, e_x \ .$$

The null space of $L(\Omega_0)$ is supported on only one lattice point $N := \{x = (1,1,-1) \in \Lambda\}$ because of the symmetry reduction; hence $L(\Omega_0)e_x = 0$. Thus, P_N is the projection onto the one dimensional kernel, and $P_{\Lambda\setminus N}$ is the projection onto its complement. In future studies of quasi-periodic solutions the set N will in general comprise a possibly large but finite number of lattice sites.

Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction

Define the decomposition $u = v + w$ into its components in the kernel and the range of $L(\Omega_0)$;

$$v = P_N u, \qquad w = P_{\Lambda\setminus N} u \ .$$

Then the zeros of the nonlinear operator $f(u;\Omega)$ defined in (9) are the solutions of the pair of equations

$$P_N f(v+w;\Omega) = 0 \ , \qquad P_{\Lambda\setminus N} f(v+w;\Omega) = 0 \ .$$

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be a parametrization of the kernel of $f'(0;\Omega_0)$ given by

$$v(r) = r e_{1,1,-1} \in L^2_{sym}(\mathbb{T}^2;\mathbb{C}).$$

The strategy of the construction consists in solving $w(r,\Omega)$ in the range equation $P_{\Lambda\setminus N} f(v(r)+w;\Omega) = 0$, using a Nash-Moser procedure. The key aspect of this step is that it is a small divisor problem, which implies that the function $w(r,\Omega)$ can only be constructed in a Cantor set of parameters (r,Ω) in a neighborhood of $(0,\Omega_0)$.

Initial Nash-Moser step

A first approximation of the solution is constructed projecting in the ball B_0 of radius L_0,

$$B_0 = \{(j,k) \in \Lambda\setminus N : |j| + |k| < L_0\}.$$

To solve the first step of the Nash-Moser iteration, we use the following proposition;

Lemma 6 *For a diophantine number ω, if Ω is such that $|\Omega - \Omega_0| < c\gamma/L_0^{2\tau+1}$, then*

$$|\lambda_{j,k,-1}(\Omega)| > c\gamma/L_0^{2\tau+2}$$

for all (j,k) with $|(j,k)| < L_0$.

Proof. Using the determinant of $M_{j,k}(\Omega_0)$, and the fact that $|q\omega - p| \geq \gamma/|q|^\tau$, we have

$$|d_{j,k}(\Omega_0)| \geq \gamma/\left|2\left(k^2 - j^2\right)\right|^\tau \geq c\gamma/L_0^{2\tau}.$$

Since $|\lambda_{j,k,1}| \leq cL_0^2$, then

$$|\lambda_{j,k,-1}(\Omega_0)| \geq c\gamma/L_0^{2\tau+2} \ .$$

The result follows from the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{j,k,-1}(\Omega))| &\geq |\lambda_{j,k,-1}(\Omega_0)| - |\lambda_{j,k,-1}(\Omega) - \lambda_{j,k,-1}(\Omega_0)| \\ &\gtrsim \gamma/L_0^{2\tau+2} - |j|\,|\Omega - \Omega_0| \gtrsim \gamma/L_0^{2\tau+2} \ . \end{aligned}$$

■

By the implicit function theorem and the lemma above, there is a solution $w_0(r,\Omega)$ of $P_{B_0}f(v(r) + w_0, \Omega) = 0$, which is defined over the regime of parameters (r,Ω) with $0 \leq r < r_0$ and $|\Omega - \Omega_0| < c\gamma/L_0^{2\tau+1}$.

Subsequent Nash-Moser steps

Let P_{B_n} be the projection in the ball

$$B_n = \{(j,k) \in \Lambda\backslash N : |j| + |k| < L_n\}$$

with $L_n = 2^n L_0$. The Nash-Moser method consists in providing a better approximation w_n at the n^{th} step, where

$$w_n(r,\Omega) = w_{n-1}(r,\Omega) + \delta w_n.$$

Here δw_n is the correction given by the approximate Newton's method

$$\delta w_n = -G_{B_n} P_{B_n} f(w_{n-1}(r,\Omega); r, \Omega), \tag{12}$$

where the inverse operator to $\partial_w f(w_{n-1}(r,\Omega); r, \Omega)$ on B_n is given by

$$G_{B_n} = \left(P_{B_n}\partial_w f(w_{n-1})P_{B_n}\right)^{-1}.$$

If the operator norm of the inverse has a tame estimate

$$\|G_{B_n}\|_{\sigma_n} \lesssim \gamma_n^{-2}\frac{1}{d_n}, \tag{13}$$

where $\sigma_n = \sigma_{n-1} - 2\gamma_n$, $d_n < d_{n-1}$ and $(r, \Omega) \in \mathcal{N}_n$, then we obtain an inductive estimate for δw_n of the form

$$\|\delta w_n\|_{\sigma_n} < Cr^2 e^{-\kappa^n} \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa \in (1, 2).$$

The following theorem quantifies the smallness condition that are required for the convergence of the iterative scheme.

Theorem 7 *If $d_n = L_n^{-\beta}$ for $\beta > 3/2$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\gamma_n \to \sigma_0/2$, from the estimate (13), then $w_n(r, \Omega)$ converges to the solution $w(r, \Omega) \in h_{\sigma_0/2}$ of*

$$P_{\Lambda\setminus N} f(v(r) + w(r, \Omega), \Omega) = 0,$$

which is Whitney smooth in (r, Ω) over the Cantor set $\mathcal{N} = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_n$ (see the result in [9]).

3 Estimates of the inverse

Approximate inversion of the linearized operator $\partial_w f(v(r) + w; \Omega)$ is key to applications of the Nash-Moser method. In this paper we follow an approach that is motivated by techniques that have been developed to study Anderson localization . We use the basic approach developed by Craig and Wayne in [7] with innovations by Bourgain [3], and Berti and Bolle [4], but we introduce certain simplifications that clarify the method. We expect that this version will be useful in further applications of Nash-Moser methods to Hamiltonian PDEs.

In this paper we describe the situation in which singular sites occur in uniformly bounded clusters, and clusters are separated asymptotically in the lattice. This is typically the case of time periodic solutions for PDEs in one space dimension.

For the particular case of the problem of vortex filaments, we will be making certain assumption on the nature and the spectra of the relevant linearized operators. These assumptions will be verified in the subsequent Section 4, where in order to do so we excise certain regions of parameter space $(r, \Omega) \in \mathcal{N}$.

Given $w \in P_{\Lambda\setminus N} L^2_{sym}$, the projection $P_{\Lambda\setminus N} \partial_w f$ of the linearization of the nonlinear operator (9) at the point $v(r) + w \in L^2_{sym}$ is given by

$$P_{\Lambda\setminus N} \partial_w f(v(r) + w; \Omega) = P_{\Lambda\setminus N}(L(\Omega) + \omega \partial_w g(v + w)) P_{\Lambda\setminus N}. \qquad (14)$$

Because (1) is a Hamiltonian PDE, this linear operator is Hermitian.

In the Fourier basis $\{e_x\}$ the operator (14) is expressed by the matrix

$$H(w; r, \Omega) = D(\Omega) + T(w; r, \Omega),$$

where, for $x, y \in \Lambda \backslash N$, the matrix D is a diagonal;

$$D(\Omega) = \mathrm{diag}_{x \in \Lambda \backslash N}(\lambda_x(\Omega)),$$

and T is a Töplitz linear operator

$$T(w; r, \Omega)(x, y) = \langle \omega \partial_w g(v(r) + w) e_y, e_x \rangle .$$

Estimates of operators acting on analytic spaces h_σ are realized with the operator norm:

$$\|G\|_\sigma := \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sup_x \sum_y |G(x,y)| \, e^{\sigma |x-y|} \, \langle x - y \rangle^s \\ \sup_y \sum_x |G(x,y)| \, e^{\sigma |x-y|} \, \langle x - y \rangle^s \end{array} \right\}.$$

Consider the restriction of the linearized operator $H = P_{\Lambda \backslash N} \partial_w f(v(r) + w; \Omega)$ to $\ell^2(E)$, where $E \subseteq \Lambda \backslash N$ is a region of lattice sites of Λ. Its inverse operator is denoted by

$$G_E = (P_E H P_E)^{-1}$$

Definition 8 *Let $A, B \subset \Lambda$. For the restriction of a matrix H, a linear mapping of $\ell^2(\Lambda)$ to a mapping $\ell^2(B) \to \ell^2(A)$, we define*

$$H_A^B = P_A H P_B.$$

With this notation we have $(G_E)_A^B = P_A (P_E H P_E)^{-1} P_B$.

Fix $w = w_{n-1}$ the approximation of the $(n-1)^{th}$ step of the Nash-Moser iteration. The main linear estimate is of the matrix

$$G_{B_n}(w_{n-1}) = (P_{B_n} H(w_{n-1}) P_{B_n})^{-1},$$

where the region $B_n \subseteq \Lambda \backslash N$ is a ball centered at the origin of large radius L_n.

Definition 9 *We say that a site $x \in \Lambda$ is* regular *if $|\lambda_x| > d_0$. The subset of regular sites is denoted by $A \subset \Lambda$.*

We say that a site is singular *if $|\lambda_x| \leq d_0$. We define $\mathcal{S}_n \subset \Lambda$ to be the set of singular sites in the annulus $B_n \backslash B_{n-1}$.*

With these definitions we have the following decomposition of the lattice as a disjoint union;

$$\Lambda = A \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_n.$$

The hypotheses we use to control the norm of G_{B_n} are:

(h1) The non-diagonal Töplitz matrix T has the exponential decay property

$$\|T(u)\|_{\sigma_n} \leq Cr_0$$

for $\|u\|_{\sigma_n} < r_0$.

(h2) The set of singular points in $B_n \backslash B_{n-1}$ is the union of bounded regions S_j that are pairwise separated. That is,

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \cup_j S_j, \qquad \text{rad}(S_j) < c_0 \ ,$$

and for $S_j, S_i \subset \mathcal{S}_n \cup \mathcal{S}_{n-1}$, we assume that

$$\text{dist}(S_i, S_j) > 4\ell_n \ .$$

(h3) For $C(S_j)$ the tubular neighborhood of radius ℓ_n around S_j, assume that the spectra of $H_{C(S_j)}$ are bounded away from zero by d_n. That is

$$\text{spec}(H_{C(S_j)}) \subset \mathbb{R} \backslash [-d_n, d_n] \ .$$

These will be shown to hold inductively for parameters (r, Ω) in the set $\mathcal{N}_n$. We defined recursively

$$\sigma_n = \sigma_{n-1} - 2\gamma_n.$$

Theorem 10 *Assume hypothesis (h1)-(h3), and suppose that* $cr_0/d_0 < 1/4$, *and*

$$c_{\gamma_n} r_0 e^{-\gamma_n \ell_n}/d_n < 1/4 \ ,$$

where

$$c_\gamma = c \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\gamma|x|} \lesssim \gamma^{-2}.$$

Let $E_n = B_{n-1} \cup \mathcal{S}_n \cup A_n$ *with* A_n *consisting of regular sites in* $\Lambda \backslash B_{n-1}$, *then we have that*

$$\|G_{E_n}(w_{n-1})\|_{\sigma_n} \lesssim c_{\gamma_n}/d_n. \tag{15}$$

The proof of Theorem 10 builds on a sequence of lemmas that serve to estimate the norms of local Hamiltonians about singular sites.

Let $C_n(S_j)$ be the neighborhood of radius ℓ_n around $S_j \subset \mathcal{S}_n$,

$$C_n(S_j) = \{x \in \Lambda : \mathrm{dist}(x, S_j) < \ell_n\}.$$

Because of hypothesis (h2) the sets $C_n(S_j)$ are disjoint for distinct S_j. We prove the following fact, omitting for clarity some instances of the indices n and j.

Lemma 11 *Assume $cr_0/d_0 < 1/2$. From hypotheses (h1)-(h3), for $\|u\|_{\sigma_n} < r_0$ and for any regular subset $E \subset A$ or for $C(S)$ an ℓ-tubular neighborhood of a singular region S, we have*

$$\|G_E\|_{\sigma_n} \leq \frac{2}{d_0}, \qquad \left\|G_{C(S)}\right\|_{\sigma_n} \leq \frac{C}{d_n}.$$

Proof. Since E is regular, $\left\|\left(D_E^E\right)^{-1} T_E^E\right\|_{\sigma_n} \leq cr_0/d_0 < 1/2$, then

$$\|G_E\|_{\sigma_n} = \left\|\left(I + \left(D_E^E\right)^{-1} T_E^E\right)^{-1} \left(D_E^E\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\sigma_n} \leq \frac{2}{d_0}.$$

Since $H_{C(S)}$ is self-adjoint, by hypothesis (h3), the L^2-norm of $G_{C(S)}$ is bounded by $1/d_n$, $\left\|G_{C(S)}\right\|_0 \leq 1/d_n$. Since the set S has radius bounded by c_0, then

$$\left\|(G_{C(S)})_S^S\right\|_{\sigma_n} \leq e^{\sigma_n c_0} c_0^s \left\|(G_{C(S)})_S^S\right\|_0 \leq \frac{C}{d_n}.$$

Since singular regions are separated, then the set $E = C(S) \setminus S$ is regular. From the self-adjoint property, only two more cases require verification,

$$\left\|(G_{C(S)})_E^S\right\|_{\sigma_n} \leq C/d_n \text{ and } \left\|(G_{C(S)})_E^E\right\|_{\sigma_n} \leq C/d_n.$$

Let us define the connection matrix

$$\Gamma := H_{C(S)} - H_E \oplus H_S = T_E^S + T_S^E.$$

From resolvent identities we have that

$$G_{C(S)} = G_E \oplus G_S - G_E \oplus G_S \Gamma G_{C(S)},$$

then

$$(G_{C(S)})_E^S = G_E T_E^S (G_{C(S)})_S^S. \tag{16}$$

Therefore, for the first case we have

$$\left\|(G_{C(S)})_E^S\right\|_{\sigma_n} \leq C\frac{r_0}{d_0}\frac{1}{d_n}.$$

From resolvent identities, we have that

$$G_{C(S)} = G_E \oplus G_S - G_E \oplus G_S \Gamma G_E \oplus G_S + G_E \oplus G_S \Gamma G_{C(S)} \Gamma G_E \oplus G_S,$$

then

$$(G_{C(S)})_E^E = G_E + G_E T_E^S (G_{C(S)})_S^S T_S^E G_E. \tag{17}$$

Thus, for the second inequality we have

$$\left\|(G_{C(S)})_E^E\right\|_{\sigma_n} \leq \frac{2}{d_0} + C\frac{r_0^2}{d_0^2}\frac{1}{d_n} \leq C\frac{1}{d_n}.$$

■

Lemma 12 *Let $P_x G$ be the projection on the x^{th} row of G, then for any $\sigma > \gamma > 0$*

$$\|G\|_{\sigma-\gamma} \leq c_\gamma \sup_x \|P_x G\|_\sigma .$$

Let A and B be two sets such that $\operatorname{dist}(A, B) \geq \ell$*, then*

$$\|P_A G P_B\|_{\sigma-\gamma} \leq e^{-\gamma\ell} \|G\|_\sigma .$$

Proof. The first result follows from the inequalities

$$\sup_y \sum_x |G(x,y)|\, e^{(\sigma-\gamma)|x-y|} \langle x-y\rangle^s \leq \sup_y \sum_x \|P_x G\|_\sigma\, e^{-\gamma|x-y|} \leq c_\gamma \sup_x \|P_x G\|_\sigma \ ,$$

and

$$\sup_x \sum_y |G(x,y)|\, e^{(\sigma-\gamma)|x-y|} \langle x-y\rangle^s \leq \sup_x \|P_x G\|_\sigma \ .$$

The second result follows from the long step ℓ between A and B that gives the estimate $e^{-\gamma\ell}$ in the exponential decay of the norm $\sigma - \gamma$. That is, since $|x-y| > \ell$ for $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, then

$$\sup_{y\in B} \sum_{x\in A} |G(x,y)|\, e^{(\sigma-\gamma)|x-y|} \langle x-y\rangle^s \leq e^{-\gamma\ell} \|G\|_\sigma \ ,$$



Figure 3: Preconditioner matrix L_n

and similarly for the supremum over x. ■

Theorem 10. The main estimate on the inverse

$$G_{B_n} = (P_{B_n} H(w_{n-1}) P_{B_n})^{-1}$$

is obtained by a approach distilled from [4]; we construct a preconditioner matrix L_n from $G_{C_n(B_{n-1})}$, G_{A_n} and inverses $G_{C_n(S_j)}$ of the local Hamiltonians $H_{C_n(S_j)}$ for $S_j \subset \mathcal{S}_n$. These inverses satisfy estimates as follows: Since A_n is regular, then

$$\|G_{A_n}\|_{\sigma_n} \lesssim 1/d_0. \tag{18}$$

By (h2) and Lemma 13, then

$$\left\|G_{C_n(B_{n-1})}\right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} \lesssim c_{\gamma_{n-1}}/d_{n-1}. \tag{19}$$

By (h1)-(h3) and Lemma 11, then

$$\left\|G_{C_n(S_j)}\right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} \lesssim 1/d_n. \tag{20}$$

We define the preconditioner matrix L_n as

$$L_n = G_{A_n} + P_{B_{n-1}} G_{C_n(B_{n-1})} + \sum_{S_j \subset \mathcal{S}_n} P_{S_j} G_{C_n(S_j)}. \tag{21}$$

Thus, we have that

$$L_n(H_{E_n}^{E_n}) = G_{A_n}(H_{A_n}^{A_n} + H_{A_n}^{E_n\setminus A_n}) + P_{B_{n-1}}G_{C_n(B_{n-1})}(H_{C_n(B_{n-1})}^{C_n(B_{n-1})} + H_{C_n(B_{n-1})}^{E_n\setminus C_n(B_{n-1})}) + \sum_{S_j\subset\mathcal{S}_n} P_{S_j}G_{C_n(S_j)}(H_{C_n(S_j)}^{C_n(S_j)} + H_{C_n(S_j)}^{E_n\setminus C_n(S_j)}) = I_{E_n} + K_n,$$

where, using that $G_{A_n}H_{A_n}^{A_n} = I_{A_n}$ etc., we have that

$$K_n = G_{A_n}H_{A_n}^{E_n\setminus A_n} + P_{B_{n-1}}G_{C_n(B_{n-1})}H_{C_n(B_{n-1})}^{E_n\setminus C_n(B_{n-1})} + \sum_{S_j\subset\mathcal{S}_n} P_{S_j}G_{C_n(S_j)}H_{C_n(S_j)}^{E_n\setminus C_n(S_j)}.$$

We conclude from (22) that as long as $(I_{E_n} + K_n)^{-1}$ exists, we have

$$G_{E_n} = (H_{E_n}^{E_n})^{-1} = (I_{E_n} + K_n)^{-1}L_n. \tag{22}$$

A bound on the operator norm $\|L_n\|_{\sigma_n}$ uses that $\|G_{A_n}\|_{\sigma_n} \le c/d_0$ and $\left\|P_{B_{n-1}}G_{C_n(B_{n-1})}\right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} \le c_{\gamma_{n-1}}/d_{n-1}$. Then referring to Lemma 12, we have

$$\left\|\sum_{S_j\subset\mathcal{S}_n} P_{S_j}G_{C_n(S_j)}\right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}-\gamma_n} \le c_{\gamma_n}\sup_{x\in\mathcal{S}_n}\left\|G_{C_n(x)}\right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} \lesssim c_{\gamma_n}/d_n.$$

Since L_n is the sum of the above three operators, we conclude that

$$\|L_n\|_{\sigma_n} \le c/d_0 + c_{\gamma_{n-1}}/d_{n-1} + c_{\gamma_n}/d_n \lesssim c_{\gamma_n}/d_n.$$

There is a recursive relation among the constants γ_n, ℓ_n and d_n, for which we will show that $\|K_n\|_{\sigma_n} \le 3/4$, hence $\|I + K_n\|_{\sigma_n} \le 4$. Therefore, we obtain the result of the theorem

$$\|G_{E_n}\|_{\sigma_n} \le 4\left\|L_n^{-1}\right\|_{\sigma_n} \lesssim c_{\gamma_n}/d_n.$$

To estimate K_n, we rewrite it as

$$K_n = G_{A_n}T_{A_n}^{E_n\setminus A_n} + P_{B_{n-1}}G_{C_n(B_{n-1})}TP_{E_n\setminus C_n(B_{n-1})} + \sum_{S_j\subset\mathcal{S}_n} P_{S_j}G_{C_n(S_j)}TP_{E_n\setminus C_n(S_j)}.$$

The proof exploits the fact that $\mathrm{dist}(B_{n-1}, E_n\setminus C_n(B_{n-1}))$ and $\mathrm{dist}\,(S_j, E_n\setminus C_n(S_j))$ are greater than ℓ_n, i.e. the long step ℓ_n gives the estimate in the exponential

decay of the norm $\sigma_{n-1}-\gamma_n$ by $e^{-\gamma_n \ell_n}$. That is, by the first result of Lemma 12 we have for S_j that

$$\left\| P_{S_j} G_{C_n(S_j)} T P_{E_n \setminus C_n(S_j)} \right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}-\gamma_n} \leq \frac{c_{\gamma_n}}{d_n} r_0 e^{-\gamma_n \ell_n},$$

while for B_{n-1} we have

$$\left\| P_{B_{n-1}} G_{C_n(B_{n-1})} T P_{E_n \setminus C_n(B_{n-1})} \right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}-\gamma_n} \leq \frac{c_{\gamma_{n-1}}}{d_{n-1}} r_0 e^{-\gamma_n \ell_n}.$$

By the second result of Lemma 12, we can estimate row by row the matrix involving the singular sites $\mathcal{S}_n$ as

$$\left\| \sum_{S_j \subset \mathcal{S}_n} P_{S_j} G_{C_n(S_j)} T P_{E_n \setminus C_n(S_j)} \right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}-2\gamma_n} \leq \frac{c_{\gamma_n}}{d_n} r_0 e^{-\gamma_n \ell_n}.$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\|K_n\|_{\sigma_{n-1}-2\gamma_n} \leq \frac{c}{d_0} r_0 + \frac{c_{\gamma_{n-1}}}{d_{n-1}} r_0 e^{-\gamma_n \ell_n} + \frac{c_{\gamma_n}}{d_n} r_0 e^{-\gamma_n \ell_n} \leq \frac{3}{4}$$

since we have assumed that $cr_0/d_0 < 1/4$ and $c_{\gamma_n} r_0 e^{-\gamma_n \ell_n}/d_n < 1/4$. ■

The inductive procedure of the estimate $G_{B_n}(w_{n-1})$ assumes the analogous estimate for $G_{B_{n-1}}(w_{n-2})$ holds. But in the proof, the estimate for $G_{B_{n-1}}(w_{n-1})$ is used instead. In the next lemma we prove that this estimate is true also because $w_{n-1} - w_{n-2} = \delta w_{n-1}$ is bounded for all n in the Nash-Moser procedure.

Lemma 13 *For any set $E_{n-1} = B_{n-1} \cup A_{n-1}$ with A_{n-1} regular we have that*

$$\left\| G_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-1}) \right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} \lesssim c_{\gamma_{n-1}}/d_{n-1}.$$

Proof. We assume from the previous step that

$$\left\| G_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-2}) \right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} \lesssim c_{\gamma_{n-1}}/d_{n-1}.$$

The difference of the Hamiltonians is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{n-1} &:= H_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-1}) - H_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-2}) \\ &= T_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-1}) - T_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

From Taylor's theorem, since $\delta w_{n-1} = w_{n-1} - w_{n-2}$, we have

$$R_{n-1} = T'_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-2})[\theta(\delta w_{n-1})].$$

Since $\|T'(w_{n-2})\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} \lesssim \|w_{n-2}\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} |v|^2$ with w_{n-2} bounded for all n and $|v| \leq r_0$, then

$$\|R_{n-1}\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} \lesssim \|\delta w_{n-1}\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} \lesssim \varepsilon_{n-1}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we have that

$$\left\|G_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-2})R_{n-1}\right\|_{\sigma_{n-1}} \lesssim \varepsilon_{n-1}/d_{n-1} \leq 1/2,$$

the result follows from the fact that

$$G_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-1}) = G_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-2})(I_{E_{n-1}} + G_{E_{n-1}}(w_{n-2})R_{n-1})^{-1}.$$

■

4 Verification of hypotheses

In this section we prove the exponential decay of the Töplitz matrix T, and we discuss the separation property of the singular regions. Then, we prove the estimate of the spectrum of the Hamiltonians in the singular regions for good parameters (r, Ω) in a subset $\mathcal{N}_n$.

4.1 (h1) Exponential decay

Lemma 14 *If $\|u\|_\sigma < r_0$, then $\|T(u)\|_\sigma < Cr_0$.*

Proof. Let u be a function with $\|u\|_\sigma < r_0$, by the algebra property of the norm, we have that the function

$$h(u) = \omega \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n (-1)^n \bar{u}^{n-1}$$

satisfy $\|h\|_\sigma < Cr_0$. Then by definition

$$|\langle h, e_{j,k,l} \rangle| < Cr_0 e^{-\sigma|(j,k)|} \langle j, k \rangle^{-s}.$$

Let $x_n = (j_n, k_n, l_n) \in \Lambda$, then

$$T(x_1, x_2) = \langle h e_{x_2}, e_{x_1} \rangle = \sum_{x_3 \in \Lambda} \langle h, e_{x_3} \rangle \langle e_{x_2} e_{x_3}, e_{x_1} \rangle$$

Since $\langle e_{x_2} e_{x_3}, e_{x_1} \rangle = 0$ when $j_3 \notin \{\pm j_1 \pm j_2\}$ or $k_3 \notin \{\pm k_1 \pm k_2\}$, and since $|\langle e_{x_2} e_{x_3}, e_{x_1} \rangle| \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |T(x_1, x_2)| &\leq \sum_{l_3 = \pm 1,\ j_3 \in \{\pm j_1 \pm j_2\},\ k_3 \in \{\pm k_1 \pm k_2\}} |\langle h, e_{j_3, k_3, l_3} \rangle| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j_3 \in \{\pm j_1 \pm j_2\},\ k_3 \in \{\pm k_1 \pm k_2\}} C r_0 e^{-\sigma |(j_3, k_3)|} \langle j_3, k_3 \rangle^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since there are four elements in the sum, and they satisfy $j_3 \geq |j_1 - j_2|$ and $k_3 \geq |k_1 - k_2|$, then

$$|T(x_1, x_2)| \leq 8 C r_0 e^{-\sigma |(|j_1 - j_2|, |k_1 - k_2|)|} \langle |j_1 - j_2|, |k_1 - k_2| \rangle^{-s}.$$

■

4.2 (h2) Separation property

We say that $(j, k, l) \in \Lambda$ is a singular site if $|\lambda_{j,k,l}(\Omega)| \leq d_0$.

Lemma 15 *Let (j_1, k_1) and (j_2, k_2) be two different singular sites, then for a sufficiently small d_0, we have that*

$$|j_1 - j_2| \geq C |k_1 + k_2|,$$

where C is a constant that only depends on Ω and ω. Furthermore, the constant is uniform in $(j, k, l) \in \Lambda$ for (Ω, ω) in neighborhood of (Ω_0, ω_0).

Proof. The sites of the form $(j, k, 1)$ are never singular if $d_0 << 1$. Given that $\lambda_{j,k,-1} = k^2 + \omega - \sqrt{(j\Omega)^2 + \omega^2}$, then

$$\left| k_1^2 - k_2^2 \right| - C\Omega |j_1 - j_2| \leq |\lambda_{j_1, k_1, -1} - \lambda_{j_2, k_2, -1}| \leq 2 d_0.$$

If $k_1 = k_2$, taking d_0 small enough such that $d_0 \leq C\Omega/2$, then $j_1 = j_2$. Finally, if $k_1 \neq k_2$, there exists a constant c such that

$$|j_1 - j_2| \geq \frac{1}{C\Omega} \left(\left| k_1^2 - k_2^2 \right| - 2 d_0 \right) \geq c |k_1 + k_2|.$$

Now let $S = \{(j_0, k_0, -1)\}$ be a singular site in the annulus B_{n+1}/B_n. By the previous inequality, the neighborhood

$$C_n(S) = \{(j, k, l) : |(j, k) - (j_0, k_0)| < \ell_n\}$$

contains only one singular site for $\ell_n = CL_n^{1/2}$. ■

4.3 (h3) Good parameters

We analyze the spectrum of the local Hamiltonians

$$H_{C(S)}(w_n; r, \Omega) = P_{C(S)}(D(\Omega) + T(v(r) + w_n(r, \Omega); \Omega))P_{C(S)}.$$

For $|r| \leq r_0$, there is only one eigenvalue of $H_{C(S)}(w_n, \Omega)$ with norm less than $d_0/2$ if $r_0 << d_0/2$. Let $e(r, \Omega)$ be that eigenvalue of $H_{C(S)}$ with

$$|e(r, \Omega)| < d_n << d_0/2,$$

then $e(r, \Omega)$ is isolated from other eigenvalues, and as such it is analytic in the parameters.

Lemma 16 *For $|r| < r_0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\partial_\Omega e(r, \Omega) \leq -CL_n.$$

Proof. Since $e(r, \Omega)$ is analytic, then

$$\partial_\Omega e(r, \Omega) = \partial_\Omega e(0, \Omega) + O(r) = \partial_\Omega \lambda_{j,k,-1} + O(r).$$

By an explicitly calculation

$$\partial_\Omega \lambda_{j,k,-1} = \frac{-j^2\Omega}{\sqrt{j^2\Omega^2 + \omega^2}} \geq -C\Omega L_n,$$

because the site (j, k) is singular with $|j| \geq CL_n$.

From the above lemma, for a fix $r < r_0$, the eigenvalue $e(r, \Omega)$ is a monotone decreasing function of Ω. Since $e(r, \Omega)$ is analytic, then there is an unique analytic function $\Omega_z(r)$ such that $e(r, \Omega_z(r)) = 0$. Since $e(0, \Omega) = \lambda_{j,k,-1}(\Omega)$ for $r = 0$, then

$$\Omega_z(0) = \Omega_{j,k} = \frac{1}{j}\sqrt{k^4 + 2k^2\omega}$$

and $(j, k, -1) \in S_j$. ■

Lemma 17 *We have that*

$$\Omega_z(r) = \Omega_{j,k} + \frac{1}{L_n} O(r^2).$$

Proof. Since $e(r, \Omega)$ is analytic then

$$e(r, \Omega) = e(0, \Omega_{j,k}) + \partial_\Omega e(0, \Omega_{j,k})(\Omega - \Omega_{j,k}) + \partial_r e(0, \Omega_{j,k}) r + h.o.t$$

By the Feynman-Hellman formula, we have that

$$\partial_r e(0, \Omega_{j,k}) = \langle T'(0)[\partial_r v, \psi_0], \psi_0 \rangle.$$

In the space L^2_{sym}, the functions are $\psi_0 = e_{j,k,-1}$, $\partial_r v = e_{1,1,-1}$, and

$$d^2 g(0)[w_1, w_2] = \partial^2_{\bar{u}} g(0) \bar{w}_1 \bar{w}_2 = 2 \bar{w}_1 \bar{w}_2.$$

Thus, for any $j, k \neq 1/2$, we have that

$$\langle \psi_0, T'(0)[\partial_r v, \psi_0] \rangle = \langle 2\omega \bar{e}_{1,1,-1} \bar{e}_{j,k,-1}, e_{j,k,-1} \rangle = 0.$$

Since $\partial_\Omega e(0, \Omega_{j,k}) < -C L_n$, then

$$\partial_\Omega e(0, \Omega_{j,k})(\Omega_z - \Omega_{j,k}) + h.o.t. = 0$$

Using the implicit function theorem, we have that $\Omega_z - \Omega_{j,k}$ is a function of r, and

$$\Omega_z - \Omega_{j,k} = \frac{1}{L_n} O(r^2).$$

■

Let $N_{j,k}$ be the neighborhood of the curve $\Omega_z(r)$ given by

$$N_{j,k} = \{(r, \Omega) : |\Omega_z(r) - \Omega| < C \frac{d_n}{L_n}\}, \tag{23}$$

by the previous lemma, and the mean value theorem, the eigenvalue satisfy $|e(r, \Omega)| > d_n$ if $(r, \Omega) \notin N_{j,k}$. Thus, the hypothesis (h3) holds true in the complement of the set of parameters

$$\cup_{(j,k) \in \mathcal{S}_n} N_{j,k},$$

where the union is taken over all singular sites in the annulus $B_n \backslash B_{n-1}$.

5 Intersection property

In this section, we present the arguments for the Whitney regularity of $w(r,\Omega)$ for $(r,\Omega) \in \mathcal{N} = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_n$. Then, we prove that the intersection of the curve

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(r, \Omega(r)) : \Omega(r) = \Omega_0 + \Omega_2 r^2 + O(r^3)\},$$

and the Cantor set $\mathcal{N}$ has positive measure in the case $\Omega_2 \neq 0$. Finally, we prove that the curve $\mathcal{C}$ corresponding to the bifurcation of standing waves has the non-degeneracy property $\Omega_2 \neq 0$.

5.1 Whitney regularity

At the n^{th} Nash-Moser step excisions are made in parameter space $(r,\Omega) \in \mathcal{N}_{n-1}$ consisting of the union of neighborhoods $N_{j,k}$, each of width Cd_n/L_n. On the parameter set $\mathcal{N}_{n-1} \setminus \cup_{j,k} N_{j,k}$, after the excision of the $N_{j,k}$, we solve (12) for the correction $\delta w_n(r,\Omega)$. We may now provide a smooth interpolant for $\delta w_n(r,\Omega)$ across the excisions, in the usual way. Construct a cutoff function $\varphi_n(r,\Omega) \in C_0^{\infty}$, which is supported in $\mathcal{N}_{n-1} \setminus \cup_{j,k} N_{j,k}$ and for which $\varphi_n(r,\Omega) = 1$ on the new parameter set $\mathcal{N}_n := \mathcal{N}_{n-1} \setminus \cup_{j,k} 2N_{j,k}$, where

$$2N_{j,k} := \{(r,\Omega) : |\Omega_z(r) - \Omega| < c\frac{2d_n}{L_n}\}$$

are excisions of just twice the width of the previous $N_{j,k}$. This can be done so that the cutoff function φ_n has derivatives bounded by $|\partial_\Omega^\alpha \partial_r^\beta \varphi_n| \leq C(\frac{L_n}{2d_n})^{\alpha+\beta}$. Then $\varphi_n \delta w_n(r,\Omega) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{N}_0)$ and $\varphi_n \delta w_n = \delta w_n$ on $\mathcal{N}_n$. Now $w_n = w_{n-1} + \varphi_n \delta w_n$ is C^∞ in the set of parameters $(r,\Omega) \in \mathcal{N}_0$, $w_n = w_{n-1} + \delta w_n$ on $\mathcal{N}_n$, and moreover, for $(r,\Omega) \in \mathcal{N}_0$ the sequence w_n converges in $h_{\sigma_0/2}$ along with all of its derivatives with respect to (r,Ω); and the following estimate holds

$$\|\partial_\Omega^\alpha w\|_{\sigma_0/2} \leq Cr^2, \qquad \|\partial_r \partial_\Omega^\alpha w\|_{\sigma_0/2} \leq Cr.$$

5.2 Measure of good parameters

Lemma 18 *Let r_- and r_+ be the minimum and the maximum of $\{r : (r,\Omega) \in \mathcal{C} \cap N_{j,k}\}$, we have that*

$$\left|r_-^2 - r_+^2\right| \leq \frac{C}{\Omega_2}\frac{d_n}{L_n}.$$

Proof. Let r_0 be such that $\Omega_z(r_0) = \Omega(r_0)$, then the point $(r_0, \Omega(r_0))$ is the intersection of the curves $\Omega_z(r)$ and $\Omega(r)$. Since $\Omega(r) = \Omega_0 + \Omega_2 r^2 + O(r^3)$, then

$$|\Omega(r_-) - \Omega(r_0)| \geqslant \frac{\Omega_2}{2}|r_-^2 - r_0^2|.$$

By the previous lemma

$$|\Omega_z(r_0) - \Omega_z(r_-)| \leq \frac{C}{L_n}\left|r_-^2 - r_0^2\right|.$$

Since $\Omega(r_-), \Omega_z(r_-) \in N_{j,k}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Cd_n}{L_n} &\geq |\Omega(r_-) - \Omega_z(r_-)| \geq |\Omega(r_-) - \Omega_z(r_0)| - |\Omega_z(r_0) - \Omega_z(r_-)| \\ &\geq \frac{\Omega_2}{2}|r_-^2 - r_0^2| - \frac{C}{L_n}\left|r_-^2 - r_0^2\right| \geq \frac{\Omega_2}{4}|r_-^2 - r_0^2|.\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we have for the estimate of r_+ that $\left|r_+^2 - r_0^2\right| \leq \frac{Cd_n}{\Omega_2 L_n}$. The lemma follows from the triangle inequality. ■

Lemma 19 *If $\Omega_2 \neq 0$, the measure of the set $\{r : (r, \Omega) \in \mathcal{C} \cap N_{j,k}\}$ is bounded by*

$$|\{r : (r, \Omega) \in \mathcal{C} \cap N_{j,k}\}| < \frac{C}{\sqrt{\Omega_2}}\frac{d_n}{\sqrt{L_n}}.$$

Proof. For a singular site $\Omega_0\,|j| \sim k^2$, then

$$\Omega_z(0) = \Omega_{j,k} = |k/j|\sqrt{k^2 + 2\omega} \sim \Omega_0\sqrt{1 + 2\omega k^{-2}}.$$

Thus,

$$|\Omega_0 - \Omega_z(0)| = \Omega_0\left|\frac{-2\omega k^{-2}}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 2\omega k^{-2}}}\right| > \Omega_0\left|2\omega k^{-2}\right| \gtrsim \frac{C}{L_n}.$$

From the definition of r_-, we have $|\Omega_z(r_-) - \Omega(r_-)| < Cd_n/L_n$. By the properties of Ω_z we have $|\Omega_z(0) - \Omega_z(r_-)| < Cr_-^2/L_n$, then

$$\frac{C}{L_n}r_-^2 + \frac{Cd_n}{L_n} > |\Omega(r_-) - \Omega_z(0)|.$$

Since the curve $\mathcal{C}$ is of the form $\Omega(r) = \Omega_0 + \Omega_2 r^2 + O(r^3)$, then

$$|\Omega(r_-) - \Omega_z(0)| > |\Omega_0 - \Omega_z(0)| - 2\Omega_2 r_-^2.$$

Thus,

$$\left(2\Omega_2 + \frac{C}{L_n}\right) r_-^2 > |\Omega_0 - \Omega_z(0)| - \frac{Cd_n}{L_n} > \frac{C}{L_n}(1 - Cd_n) > \frac{C}{2L_n}.$$

For $\Omega_2 \neq 0$, we conclude that $r_+ > r_- > C/\sqrt{\Omega_2 L_n}$. By the above lemma, we have

$$r_+ - r_- \leq \frac{C}{\Omega_2}\frac{d_n}{L_n}(C\sqrt{\Omega_2 L_n}) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\Omega_2}}\frac{d_n}{\sqrt{L_n}}.$$

■

Proposition 20 *Let $d_n = L_n^{-\beta}$. If $\Omega_2 \neq 0$ and $\beta > 3/2$, then the measure of good parameters is positive. Moreover,*

$$|\{r \in [0, r_0) : (r, \Omega) \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}\}| > r_0(1 - r_0 C_\beta),$$

where

$$C_\beta = \frac{C}{\sqrt{\Omega_2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n^{3/2-\beta} < \infty.$$

Proof. There are at most cL_n^2 singular sites at the n step, then the previous lemma implies

$$|\{r \in [0, r_0) : (r, \Omega(r)) \notin \mathcal{N}_n \cap \mathcal{C}\}| \leq r_0 L_n^2 \frac{C}{\sqrt{\Omega_2}}\frac{d_n}{\sqrt{L_n}}.$$

Thus

$$|\{r \in [0, r_0) : (r, \Omega) \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}\}| \geq r_0 - r_0 \frac{C}{\sqrt{\Omega_2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n^{3/2-\beta} = r_0(1 - r_0 C_\beta).$$

■

5.3 Non-degeneracy of the bifurcation branch

In this section we prove that for all parameters ω with only one exceptional value $\omega = \omega_0$ we have $\Omega_2 \neq 0$; namely, the bifurcation branch has nondegenerate curvature at the bifurcation point. For the asymptotic expansion

$$u = ru_1 + r^2 u_2 + \mathcal{O}(r^3),$$
$$\Omega(r) = \Omega_0 + r\Omega_1 + r^2\Omega_2 + \mathcal{O}(r^3),$$

we have that

$$f(w;\Omega) = Lu + i(\Omega(r) - \Omega_0)u_t + \omega\bar{u}^2 - \omega\bar{u}^3 + \mathcal{O}(|u|^4) = 0,$$

where $L = L(\Omega_0)$ is the linear map at Ω_0.

At order r, we have $Lu_1 = 0$, and then $u_1 = e_{1,1,-1}(\Omega_0)$. Thus, at order r^2 we have

$$Lu_2 - i\Omega_1\partial_t u_1 + \omega\overline{u}_1^2 = 0.$$

Multiplying by u_1, integrating by parts, and using that L is self-adjoint with $Lu_1 = 0$, we get that

$$\Omega_1 \left\langle i\partial_t u_1, u_1 \right\rangle = \omega \left\langle \bar{u}_1^2, u_1 \right\rangle.$$

The basis $e_{j,k,l}$ at $\Omega = \Omega_0$ is given by $e_{0,0,1} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{0,2,1} &= \sqrt{2}\cos 2s, \\
e_{1,1,-1} &= 2(a\cos t + ib\sin t)\cos s, \\
e_{2,0,\pm 1} &= \sqrt{2}(a_\pm \cos 2t + ib_\pm \sin 2t), \\
e_{2,2,\pm 1} &= 2(a_\pm \cos 2t + ib_\pm \sin 2t)\cos 2s,
\end{aligned}$$

where $(a,b)^T = v_{1,1,-1}$ and $(a_\pm, b_\pm)^T = v_{2,0,,\pm 1}$. Since

$$\langle i\partial_t u_1, u_1\rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} i\partial_t u_1 \bar{u}_1 \; dtds = -2ab,$$

then

$$\left\langle \overline{u}_1^2, u_1 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} e_{1,1,-1}^3 \; dtds = 0. \tag{24}$$

Since $\Omega_1 = 0$ and $u_2 = -\omega L^{-1}\overline{u_1}^2$, then we conclude that

$$\bar{u}_2 = -\omega L^{-1} u_1^2.$$

At order r^3, we obtain

$$Lu_3 - i\Omega_2\partial_t u_1 + 2\omega\overline{u_1 u_2} - \omega\bar{u}_1^3 = 0.$$

Multiplying by u_1 and integrating by parts, then

$$-\Omega_2 \left\langle i\partial_t u, u_1 \right\rangle = \omega \left\langle 2\omega\overline{u_1} L^{-1}(u_1^2) + \bar{u}_1^3, u_1 \right\rangle.$$

Thus, we have

$$\Omega_2 = \frac{\omega}{2ab}\left(\left\langle \bar{u}_1^3, u_1\right\rangle + 2\omega\left\langle L^{-1}u_1^2, u_1^2\right\rangle\right).$$

To calculate the first product, we use that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \cos^4\theta \; d\theta = 3\pi/4, \qquad \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2\theta \sin^2\theta \; d\theta = \pi/4,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \bar{u}_1^3, u_1\right\rangle &= \frac{4}{\pi^2}\left(a^4\frac{3\pi}{4} - 6a^2b^2\frac{\pi}{4} + b^4\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)\frac{3\pi}{4} \\ &= \frac{9}{4}\left(a^4 - 2a^2b^2 + b^4\right) = \frac{9}{4}\left(a^2 - b^2\right)^2\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{1+\omega}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{1+2\omega} \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\left(a^2 - b^2\right)^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{(1+\omega)^2} \text{ and } 2ab = \frac{\sqrt{1+2\omega}}{1+\omega}. \tag{25}$$

We conclude from the next proposition that

$$\Omega_2 = \frac{1}{6}\frac{\omega^2}{(\omega+1)(\omega+2)\sqrt{2\omega+1}}\left(4\omega^3 + 29\omega^2 + 33\omega - 6\right).$$

Since $\Omega_2 = 0$ at only one point $\omega_0 > 0$, the curve has the property for the intersection with the Cantor set $\mathcal{N}$ except for ω_0.

Proposition 21 *We have that*

$$\left\langle L^{-1}u_1^2, u_1^2\right\rangle = \frac{1}{24(\omega+1)^2(\omega+2)}\left(8\omega^3 + 31\omega^2 + 12\omega - 12\right).$$

Proof. To calculate $\langle L^{-1}u_1^2, u_1^2\rangle$, we use the expression for u_1^2 given by

$$u_1^2 = e_{1,1,-1}^2 = (a^2 - b^2 + \cos 2t + i2ab\sin 2t)(1 + \cos 2s).$$

Projecting the vector $(1, 2ab)$ in the orthonormal components (a_+, b_+) and (a_-, b_-), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^2 = \left(a^2 - b^2\right) \left(e_{0,0,1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{0,2,1}\right) \\ + \left(a_+ + 2abb_+\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{2,0,1} + \frac{1}{2} e_{2,2,1}\right) \\ + \left(a_- + 2abb_-\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{2,0,-1} + \frac{1}{2} e_{2,2,-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\left\langle L^{-1} u_1^2, u_1^2 \right\rangle = \left(a^2 - b^2\right)^2 \left(\lambda_{0,0,1}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0,2,1}^{-1}\right) + P, \tag{26}$$

where P is the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} P = \left(a_+ + 2abb_+\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2,0,1}^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{2,2,1}^{-1}\right) \\ + \left(a_- + 2abb_-\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2,0,-1}^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{2,2,-1}^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the first term we have

$$\left(\lambda_{0,0,1}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{0,2,1}^{-1}\right) \left(a^2 - b^2\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\omega}{(\omega + 1)^2 (\omega + 2)} (3\omega + 4).$$

To calculate P, we define the polynomial

$$Q = \sqrt{\omega^2 + 8\omega + 4},$$

then $a_\pm$ and $b_\pm$ are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_\pm \\ b_\pm \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{Q^2 \pm \omega Q}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega \pm Q \\ -2\sqrt{1 + 2\omega} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the eigenvalues are $\lambda_{0,0,1} = 2\omega$, $\lambda_{0,2,1} = 2(\omega + 2)$, $\lambda_{2,0,\pm 1} = \omega \pm Q$ and $\lambda_{2,2,\pm 1} = \omega \pm Q + 4$.

Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2,0,\pm 1}^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{2,2,\pm 1}^{-1} = \frac{1}{48} \frac{1}{2\omega + 1} \left(2\omega^2 + 3\omega + 4 \pm (5 - 2\omega) Q\right),$$

and

$$(a_\pm + 2abb_\pm)^2 = \frac{1}{2(Q^2 \pm \omega Q)} \left(\omega \pm Q - 2\frac{1+2\omega}{1+\omega} \right)^2 .$$

After a computations with Maple, and alternatively by hand, we conclude that

$$P = \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{(\omega+1)^2} \left(8\omega^2 - 3\omega - 6 \right).$$

■

Appendix

Periodic solutions of equation (8) are zeros of the map

$$f(v) = -i\Omega\partial_t v - \partial_{ss} v + \omega(1 - |v|^{-2})v.$$

The map f is $\mathbb{T}^3$-equivariant with the action of $(\theta, \varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{T}^3$ given by

$$\rho(\theta, \varphi, \psi)v = e^{i\theta} v(t + \varphi, s + \psi).$$

In addition, the map is equivariant by the actions

$$\rho(\kappa)v(t,s) = v(t,-s), \qquad \rho(\bar{\kappa})v(t,s) = \bar{v}(-t,s).$$

Since

$$\rho(\theta,\varphi,\psi)\rho(\kappa) = \rho(\kappa)\rho(\theta,\varphi,-\psi), \qquad \rho(\theta,\varphi,\psi)\rho(\bar{\kappa}) = \rho(\bar{\kappa})\rho(-\theta,-\varphi,\psi),$$

then the map f is equivariant by the action of the non-abelian group

$$\Gamma = O(2) \times (\mathbb{T}^2 \cup \bar{\kappa}\mathbb{T}^2).$$

The equilibrium v_0 is fixed by the actions of κ, $\bar{\kappa}$ and $(0, \varphi, \psi) \in \mathbb{T}^3$, then the isotropy group of v_0 is

$$\Gamma_{v_0} = O(2) \times (\mathbb{S}^1 \cup \bar{\kappa}\mathbb{S}^1).$$

The orbit of v_0 has dimension one, with

$$\Gamma v_0 = \{ e^{i\theta} : \theta \in S^1 \}.$$

The linear map $f'(v_0)$ in coordinates $u = (v, \bar{v}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ is given by

$$L(\Omega)u = \begin{pmatrix} -i\Omega\partial_t - \partial_{ss} + \omega & \omega \\ \omega & -i\Omega\partial_t - \partial_{ss} + \omega \end{pmatrix} u.$$

The linear map L in Fourier components is

$$L(\Omega)u = \sum_{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} M_{j,k}(\Omega)u_{j,k}e^{i(jt+ks)},$$

where the matrix

$$M_{j,k}(\Omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega j + k^2 + \omega & \omega \\ \omega & -\Omega j + k^2 + \omega \end{pmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues $\lambda_{j,k,\pm1}(\Omega)$ as before.

For $j = 1$, the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,k,-1}(\Omega)$ is zero at

$$\Omega_0 = |k|\sqrt{k^2 + 2\omega}.$$

Let (a, b) be the eigenvector of $M_{1,k}(\Omega_0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,k,-1}$. Thus, the periodic functions

$$v(z_1, z_2) = z_1 a e^{i(ks+t)} + \bar{z}_1 b e^{-i(ks+t)} + z_2 a e^{i(-ks+t)} + \bar{z}_2 b e^{-i(-ks+t)}$$

are in the kernel of $f'(v_0; \Omega_k)$ for $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

The action of Γ_{v_0} in the parametrization of the kernel (z_1, z_2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\varphi, \psi)(z_1, z_2) &= e^{i\varphi}(e^{ik\psi}z_1, e^{-ik\psi}z_2), \\ \rho(\kappa)(z_1, z_2) &= (z_2, z_1), \\ \rho(\bar{\kappa})(z_1, z_2) &= (\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1). \end{aligned}$$

The isotropy groups of the action are inherited by the bifurcating solutions. Using the elements κ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{S}^1$, we may assume without loss of generalization that the first coordinate is real and positive, $z_1 = a \in \mathbb{R}$, unless both coordinates are zero.

If $z_2 = 0$, then the isotropy group is generated by

$$(0, \varphi, -\varphi/k) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \text{ and } \kappa\bar{\kappa}.$$

This isotropy group $\Gamma_{(a,0)}$ is isomorphic to $O(2)$, and the orbit of $(a, 0)$ contains a 2-torus.

If $z_2 \neq 0$, using the action of $(0, \varphi, -\varphi/k) \in \mathbb{T}^3$, which fixes the first coordinate and acts by multiplying the second one by $e^{2\varphi}$, we may assume that z_2 is real.

If $z_2 = z_1$, then the isotropy group of (a, a) is generated by

$$(0, \pi, -\pi/k),\ \kappa \text{ and } \bar{\kappa}.$$

This isotropy group $\Gamma_{(a,a)}$ is finite, and its orbit contains a 3-torus. In other cases the isotropy group is generated by $(0, \pi, -\pi/k)$.

Traveling waves

Functions in the fixed point space of $\Gamma_{(a,0)}$ satisfy

$$v(t, s) = \rho(0, \varphi, -\varphi/k)v(t, s) = v(t + \varphi, s - \varphi/k),$$

thus they are of the form

$$v(t, s) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} v_{l,lk} e^{i(lt+lks)}.$$

In this case the non-zero Fourier components are in a line on the lattice. Moreover, from $v(t, s) = \bar{v}(-t, -s)$, we have that $v_{l,lk} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since f is $\Gamma \times \mathbb{S}^1$-equivariant, the map f sends the fixed point space of $\Gamma_{(a,0)}$ into itself. The restriction of L to this subspace is given by

$$L\,(u) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{l,kl} u_{l,lk} e^{i(lt+slk)},$$

where $u_{j,k} = (v_{j,k}, \bar{v}_{j,k})$. Moreover, for $l = 1$, if ω is irrational, the kernel at

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 := |k| \sqrt{k^2 + 2\omega}$$

is one dimensional. Since $\lambda_{1,k,-1}(\Omega)$ changes sign at Ω_0, by arguments of topological bifurcation [15], there is a global bifurcation of periodic solutions near (v_0, Ω_0).

Theorem 22 *The map $f(v; \Omega)$ has a global bifurcation of periodic traveling wave solutions from $(1, \Omega_0)$. These are solutions for the filament problem of the form*

$$u_j(t, s) = a_j e^{i\omega t} v(\Omega t + ks), \tag{27}$$

where v is a 2π-periodic solution.

In [1] there is a proof of existence of traveling waves which are asymptotic to parallel filaments at infinity. Also, in [14] there is a proof of global bifurcations of periodic traveling waves for a polygonal relative equilibrium. The proof in this reference uses equivariant degree theory to deal with the symmetries.

Standing waves

The group $\Gamma_{(a,a)}$ is generated by the elements $(0, \pi, -\pi/k)$, κ and $\bar{\kappa}$. Thus, functions with the isotropy group $\Gamma_{(a,a)}$ satisfy

$$v(t,s) = v(t+\pi, s-\pi/k) = v(t,-s) = \bar{v}(-t,s) \ .$$

These solutions are the standing waves constructed in this article.

Since $v_0 = 1$ is the only solution of the orbit $e^{i\theta}v_0$ that satisfy the symmetries (10), then $f'(v_0)$ is not degenerate when restricted to the subspace of functions (10). We have taken advantage of this fact in our proof of the existence of standing waves.

In order to solve the small divisor problem, the frequency ω has been chosen to be diophantine. In this case there are no resonant Fourier components, and all branches from different k's can be derived from the same branch $k = 1$ and the transformation $\tau^{-1}v(\tau^2 t, \tau s)$ for some τ. Thus, the case $k = 1$ that we have analyzed in the paper is the general one.

Relative equilibria

To find other relative equilibria we may solve equation (4) by the method of quadratures, as in the Kepler problem. In polar coordinates, $v = re^{i\theta}$, the equation becomes $\partial_s\theta = c/r^2$ where c is the angular momentum, and

$$\partial_s^2 r - c^2 r^{-3} + r^{-1} - \omega r = 0. \tag{28}$$

If $c = 0$, then $\theta = \theta_0$ is constant. In this case, each filament lies in a plane that contain the central axis. Since ω is positive, there is a unique equilibrium at $r = \omega^{-1/2}$, this corresponds to the 2π-periodic solution (5) with $\sigma = 0$. There are more bounded solutions with $r(s) \to 0$ when $s \to \pm\infty$, but these solutions are less relevant for modeling because the filaments approach each other and therefore exit from the regime of validity of the approximation. There are other relevant solutions that are unbounded.

If $c \neq 0$, the dependency of equations on s can be eliminated as in the Kepler problem. Changing variables as $\rho = r^{-1}$ (see [21]), then $\partial_s r = -c\partial_\theta \rho$ and $\partial_{ss} r = -c^2\rho^2\partial_{\theta\theta}\rho$. Thus, the equation becomes

$$c^2\partial_{\theta\theta}\rho + c^2\rho - \rho^{-1} + \omega\rho^{-3} = 0 \ . \tag{29}$$

These equation may be integrated from $c^2(\partial_\theta\rho)^2 + V(\rho) = E$ with the potential

$$V(\rho) = c^2\rho^2 - \ln\rho^2 - \omega\rho^{-2}.$$

Equation (29) have two equilibria for $\omega \in (0, 1/4c^2)$ given by

$$\rho_\pm^2 = \frac{1}{2c^2}\left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4c^2\omega}\right).$$

Since $\omega = -c^2\rho_\pm^4 + \rho_\pm^2$ and $\theta(s) = c\rho_\pm^2 s$, then the equilibria $\rho_\pm$ correspond to solutions $u = \rho_\pm^{-1} e^{i(\omega t + c\rho_\pm^2 s)}$, which are the helix solutions (5).

Moreover, the equilibrium ρ_+ is a minimum of V, and there are periodic solutions close to ρ_+. The continuum of periodic solutions near ρ_+ consists of helices that are in a bounded annulus. Actually, the projection in the plane of these periodic solutions are asymptotically ellipses. More complex solutions may be obtained using the Galilean transformation applied to the helix-like solutions.

Acknowledgement. The authors are partially supported by the Canada Research Chairs Program, the Fields Institute and McMaster University. Also, C. García-Azpeitia is supported by CONACyT through grant No. 203926. W. Craig is supported by NSERC through grant No. 238452–11. C.R. Yang is supported by N.C.T.S. and N.S.C. through grant No. NSC 102-2811-M-007-075.

References

[1] V. Banica and E. Miot. *Global existence and collisions for symmetric configurations of nearly parallel vortex filaments.* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 29 (2012), no. 5, 813–832.

[2] V. Banica, E. Faou and E. Miot. *Collision of almost parallel vortex filaments.* To appear Commun. Pure Appl. Math. (2016).

[3] J. Bourgain. *Construction of periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equations in higher dimension.* Geometric and Functional Analysis. 5(4) , 629-639, 1995.

[4] M. Berti and P. Bolle. *Quasi-periodic solutions with Sobolev regularity of NLS on T^d with a multiplicative potential.* J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15 (2013), no. 1, 229–286. 103–122, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., 331, Plenum, New York, 1994.

[5] M. Berti, L. Corsi, and M. Procesi. *An abstract Nash-Moser theorem and quasi-periodic solutions for NLW and NLS on compact Lie groups and homogeneous manifolds.* Commun. Math. Phys. 334 (2015) pp 1413-1454.

[6] A. Contreras and R. Jerrard. Nearly Parallel Vortex Filaments in the 3D Ginzburg-Landau Equations, arXiv:1606.00732 (2016).

[7] W. Craig and C.E. Wayne. *Newton's method and periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equations.* Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 46(11):1409–1498, 1993.

[8] W. Craig and C.E. Wayne. *Periodic solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations and the Nash-Moser method* . Hamiltonian mechanics (Toruń, 1993).

[9] W. Craig. *Problèmes de petits diviseurs dans les équations aux dérivées partielles.* Panoramas et Synthèses , 9. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2000.

[10] M. Del Pino and M. Kowalczyk. *Renormalized energy of interactinf Ginzburg – Landau vortex filaments.* J. London Math. Soc. (2) 77 (2008), pp. 647-665.

[11] Fröhlich J., Spencer T., *Absence of diffusion in the Anderson tight binding model for large disorder or low energy*, Commun. Math. Phys. 88, 151-184, 1983.

[12] M. Golubitsky and D.G. Schaeffer. *Singularities and groups in bifurcation theory II.* Appl. Math. Sci. 51. Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[13] C. García-Azpeitia and J. Ize. *Global bifurcation of polygonal relative equilibria for masses, vortices and dNLS oscillators.* J. Differential Equations 251, 3202–3227, 2011.

[14] C. García-Azpeitia and J. Ize. *Bifurcation of periodic solutions from a ring configuration in the vortex and filament problems.* J. Differential Equations 252, 5662-5678, 2012.

[15] J. Ize and A. Vignoli. *Equivariant degree theory.* De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications 8. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2003.

[16] R. Jerrard and D. Smets. (2016) in preparation.

[17] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. *On the interaction of nearly parallel vortex filaments.* Commun. Math. Phys., 243(3):471–483, 2003.

[18] R. Klein, A. Majda, and K. K. Damodaran. *Simplified equations for the interaction of nearly parallel vortex filaments.* J. Fluid Mech., 288: 201-248, 1995.

[19] T. Kappeler and J. Pöschel. *KdV & KAM.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 45. Springer-Verlag, 2003.

[20] R. de la Llave. *A tutorial on KAM theory.* Smooth ergodic theory and its applications, Proc. Sympos. Pure. Math. 65, AMS (2001)

[21] K.R. Meyer and G. R. Hall. *An Introduction to Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[22] P. K. Newton. *The N-vortex problem. Analytical techniques*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 145. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[23] P. I. Plotnikov and J.F. Toland. *Nash-Moser Theory for Standing Water Waves.* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 159 , 1–83 (2001)